

ATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/952,741 11/25/97 HATADA 2173-106P

HM12/1013

EXAMINER

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

SLOBODYANSKY, E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1652

DATE MAILED:

10/13/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/952,741

Applicant(s)

Hatada et al.

Examiner

Elizabeth Slobodyansky

Group Art Unit 1652

TH	E PERI	OD FOR	RESPONSE	: [check only	a) or b)]						
	a) 🗌				mailing date of				. ^		
	b) [X]	is later. rejection	ln no event, h 1.	nowever, will th	e statutory perio	od for the resp	onse expire	e later than si	x months fro	visory Action, whiche m the date of the fina	1
	date on determi calculat	which the ining the i	ne response, the period of extent the date of the	ne petition, and nsion and the co e originally set s	the fee have be orresponding am hortened statute	en filed is the o sount of the fee ory period for r	date of the a. Any ext esponse o	response and tension fee pu r as set forth	d also the dat irsuant to 37 in b) above.	ne appropriate fee. The for the purposes of CFR 1.17 will be	
										(or within a 2(a).	ny
Ap but	plicant is NO	t's respo T deemo	nse to the fi ed to place t	nal rejection, the application	filed on <u>S</u> n in condition	ep 14, 1999 for allowanc	has be e:	een conside	red with th	e following effect,	
X	The pr	roposed	amendment	(s):							
	□ wi	ill be ent	tered upon f	iling of a Noti	ce of Appeal a	and an Appe	al Brief.				
			entered be								
		they ra	ise new issu	es that would	d require furth	er considera	tion and/	or search.	(See note b	elow).	
	X	•			er. (See note						
		issues	for appeal.							ing or simplifying t	he
		• •			ithout cancell						
	NO			e hybridization It in the speci		o claims 8 ar				ecause these cond	itions_
	□ A ₁	pplicant'	s response	nas overcome	the following	rejection(s):					
	Newl separ	y propos rate, tim	sed or amen ely filed ame	ded claims	elling the non	-allowable cl	aims.	would	d be allowal	ble if submitted in	а
			exhibit or rebecause:	equest for rec	onsideration l	nas been cor	sidered b	out does NC	T place the	application in cor	dition
			or exhibit w		nsidered beca	use it is not	directed	SOLELY to	issues whic	ch were newly rais	ed by
X	For p	urposes	of Appeal,	the status of	the claims is a	as follows (se	e attach	ed written e	explanation,	, if any):	
	-										
	Claims allowed: 2, 5-7, and 12-14 Claims objected to:										
				10, 15, and							
										ved by the Examir	er.
	Note	the atta	ched Inform	ation Disclos	ure Statement	t(s), PTO-144	19, Paper	r No(s)	•	// 11	
X	Othe	r Intervi	iew Summaı	y (Paper #11,	J				/	MAR	
									ROI PRIMA	BERT A. WAX NRY EXAMINER	

·Application No.

08/952,741

Applicant(s)

Hatada et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

kaminer Elizabeth Slobodyansky Group Art Unit

1652



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Elizabeth Slobodyansky (3)
(2) Dr. MaryAnne Liotta (attorney) (4)
Date of Interview Oct 5, 1999
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (copy is given to 📋 applicant 🗀 applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed:
Identification of prior art discussed:
The reasons for not entering it will be explained in the Advisory action that will follow shortly.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.